

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,435	11/30/2004	Joseph P. Orban III	2823	3071
Covidien	7590 11/17/2008 ovidien		EXAMINER	
60 Middletown Avenue			WOO, JULIAN W	
North Haven,	CT 06473		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/516.435 ORBAN III ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Julian W. Woo 3773 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/19/08. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15, 23 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3773

#### DETAILED ACTION

 The finality of the Office action of December 27, 2007 is hereby withdrawn in view of new grounds of rejection below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 14 and15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to base claim 14, "the spaces of the annular support ring" lacks antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,435

Art Unit: 3773

5. Claims 1-10, 13-15, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giampapa (5.201.728). Giampapa discloses the invention substantially as claimed. With respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 13-15, 23, and 24, Giampapa discloses, at least in figures 2, 6, and 7 and in col. 3, line 67 to col. 4, line 22: a support structure including an annular ring (10) having an outer annular wall with a diameter, an inner annular wall spaced a radial distance inward of the outer inner wall and defining a space (14, 16), a upper wall, a lower wall; the outer and inner annular walls and the upper and inner walls defining a reservoir, and a therapeutic material releasable from the reservoir; where the annular ring has a circular cross-sectional profile, where the structure includes at least one removable support spoke (filaments of capillary mesh 17) integrally connected to an extending diametrically across the inner annular wall and a central hub having a central axial opening formed therethrough (a central cell or opening in the capillary mesh), where the annular outer and inner walls comprise a rigid material (e.g., silicone or polytetraflorothylene [sic]); where the annular ring comprises a plurality of interstitial spaces (between filaments of element 17 (where the filaments contact element 10) configured and adapted to allow staple legs to pass through (if so desired) and a plurality of cartridge orientation members or nubs (at the intersections of the filaments of element 17)

With respect to base claim 1 and claims 6-9, Giampapa discloses therapeutic materials releasable from the reservoir, but do not specifically disclose wound closure materials as claimed. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to apply the wound closure materials

Application/Control Number: 10/516,435

Art Unit: 3773

as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

With respect to claim 2, Giampapa discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose the diameters of the outer and inner annular walls as claimed. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to size the annular walls as claimed, since such modifications would have involved mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Note: The introductory statement of intended use ("for use in conjunction with a circular endoscopic stapling instrument having a staple cartridge assembly and an anvil assembly, the staple cartridge assembly having at least one annular arrangement of staple slots and staples positioned in the slots, wherein the support structure maintains a resulting anastomotic lumen in an open condition") has been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims patentably distinguishable over the devices of Giampapa, which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giampapa (5,201,728) in view of Pilliar (3,855,638). Giampapa discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose that the rigid material comprises stainless steel or titanium. Pilliar teaches, at least in col. 2, lines 61-68 and col. 3, lines 24-35; an implantable, porous material comprising stainless steel or titanium. It would

Art Unit: 3773

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Pilliar, to apply porous stainless steel or titanium as the rigid material in Giampapa. Such a material is biocompatible, would promote tissue ingrowth, and provide a structure with mechanical strength, if so desired, for support of regenerated tissues.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giampapa (5,201,728) in view of Schmitt et al. (3,739, 773). Giampapa discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose that the rigid material comprises a bioabsorbable material. Schmitt et al. teach, at least in col. 6, lines 1-63; an implantable, porous material (PGA) that is bioabsorbable. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Schmitt et al. to apply a porous bioabsorbable material as the rigid material in Giampapa. Such a material would promote tissue ingrowth and provide for the healing and strengthening of tissues without having an inconvenient, non-absorbable component left in a patient's body.

## Response to Amendment

 Applicant's arguments filed on March 24, 2008 and August 19, 2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3773

#### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4707. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 3773

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Julian W. Woo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773

November 15, 2008